

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/644,748	LIVINGSTONE, JAMES I.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel P. Stephenson	3672

All Participants:

(1) Daniel P. Stephenson.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Irene Bridger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2007

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related to the applicant that the case was in condition for allowance except for claim 28, which had a dependency problem and a lack of atecedent basis problem. The examiner stated that both could be resolved by making claim 28 depend from claim 69. The applicant agreed to this change, and agreed to an examiner's amendment to make the change.